## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,		)	Case No. CR	13 -	0342	WHA
	Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED UNDER THE	ORDER SPEEDY		
GREGOR	Defendant.	)			JUN	10 2013
	Defendant.	j	•	•	CLERK, U.S. DI NORTHERN DISTRI	W. WIEKING
Defendant.  Defend						
$\sqrt{}$	Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)		ely to result in a	miscarriag	ge of justice.	
·	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).					
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
$\sqrt{}$	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		LAUREL BEI United States I	¥	Judge	
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendant		Assistant Unit	ed States	Attorney	